

Reviewer:
.....



ACTA MECHANICA ET AUTOMATICA
Manuscript Review Form

Nr.....

Title of the article:

Author(s):

A. Technical and Communications Aspects (Please put a number from 1 to 5 into box for each factor below to rate the manuscript, where 1 = poor, 2 = fair, 3 = good, 4 = very good and 5 = excellent. You may add comments on the dot line to justify your answer.)

1. Is the topic of the manuscript relevant, timely, and of interest to the audience of this journal ?
 -
 2. Does the manuscript report original research ?
 -
 3. Is the research methodology and treatment for the study appropriate and applied properly ?
 -
 4. Does the title of the article accurately reflect its content ?
 -
 5. Does the introduction state the objectives of the manuscript ?
 -
 6. Is the paper clearly and concisely written and well organized ?
 -
 7. Does the manuscript contain sufficient and appropriate references ?
 -
 8. Are tables and figures appropriate and adequate ?
 -
 9. Does the abstract of article satisfactorily show the aims, methods and result of the article ?
 -
 10. Does the conclusion clearly summarize the main results and contributions of the manuscript ?
 -
- Summation =

B. Recommended disposition of the manuscript (Please put a sign X on the line next to your decision.)

1. Accept as written with no need for any revisions
2. Accept with minor revisions
3. Ask for revisions and continue with a second review
4. Ask for major revisions and allow to again be reviewed if resubmitted
5. Do not accept for publication

C. Justification for disposition (You may use another sheet of paper.)

1. Additional comments or suggestions to be sent to the authors



2. Comments to the editors (These comments will not be sent to the authors)

.....
date

.....
signature

Reviewer's Name

Author's (Authors') Name (Names)

Title of paper.....

I declare there is no interest conflict between myself and the author (authors) of the above paper, which is:

- a) personal relation (family relationship, legal relationship, conflict),
- b) professional relationship,
- c) direct scientific cooperation during last two years before the review process.

.....
date

.....
signature